



# **Experiences of FSTD Envelope Expansion Using Non-OEM Models**

**David R. Gingras, FRAeS**

# “Exemplar” Models

*For the purposes of stall maneuver evaluation, the term “exemplar” is defined as a level of fidelity that is type specific of the simulated airplane to the extent that the training objectives can be satisfactorily accomplished.*

*-14 CFR Part 60*

# Background

*Extended envelope training must include instructor-guided hands on experience of recovery from full stall and stick pusher activation, if equipped*

- 14 CFR 121.423 5c

Public Law  
111-216

2010

2014

2016

14 CFR Part 60 Change 2/Directive 2 released in with new qualification requirements that include full-stall modeling for Level C and D

MAR<sub>2019</sub>

12

## Task at Hand ...


- Over 300 training devices must be enhanced to be qualified for Full-Stall Recovery Training under Directive 2 in the USA.
  - Hundreds more world-wide
- Devices to be updated vary:
  - Airplane Type
    - Over 30 Types
  - Simulator Vintage
    - 1980's to Present



# Requirements

- Full-Stall Modeling...as appropriate to the aircraft type
  - Degradation in static/dynamic stability
  - Degradation in control response
  - Stall Hysteresis
  - Uncommanded roll response
  - Apparent randomness or non-repeatability
  - Mach effects
  - Stall buffet
- Modeling must extend 10 degrees past critical angle of attack
- Statement of Compliance Required

# Requirements



*...flight test data may not adequately validate the aerodynamic model in an unsteady and potentially unstable flight regime, such as stalled flight. As a result, the objective testing requirements defined in Table A2A do not prescribe strict tolerances on any parameter at angles of attack beyond the stall identification angle of attack.*

*-14 CFR Part 60 Attachment 7*

# Data Sources

*In cases where it is impractical to develop and validate a stall model with flight-test data (e.g., due to safety concerns involving the collection of flight test data past a certain angle of attack), the data provider is expected to make a reasonable attempt to develop a stall model through the required angle of attack range using analytical methods and empirical data (e.g., wind-tunnel data)*

*-14 CFR Part 60 Attachment 7*

# Evaluation

- Subject Matter Expert (SME) Evaluation
  - Type Rating/Qualification in Aircraft being Simulated
  - Direct experience in conducting stall maneuvers in the same type rating
  - Must be familiar with intended stall training maneuvers
- Statement of Compliance Required



## In Practice

- Instances where analytical/empirical type-exemplar models become needed
  - Out of production airplanes
    - Little or no OEM available data
  - In productions airplanes
    - No OEM data/models are available
    - OEM data/model are cost prohibitive
      - Can be true for older/version limited simulators

# Exemplar Stall Model Development

- Techniques for high-angle-of-attack models for simulation and training have been in development for over 30 years
  - Primarily for high-maneuverable military aircraft




# Exemplar Stall Model Development

- Recent US Navy and FAA sponsored research
  - Analytical/empirical techniques developed for highly maneuverable aircraft are suitable for development of transport category stall/post-stall models
  - Geometrically similar aircraft generally have similar stall and post-stall stability and control trends


# Wind Tunnel Testing Approach

- Representative geometry types identified
  - Low swept wing, low engine, low tail
    - Most Boeing and Airbus, 737, A320, 767, A330, etc.
  - Low swept wing, aft engine T-tail
    - CRJ 900, ERJ145, DC-9, F-28, etc.
  - High straight wing, T-Tail
    - Q-400, ATR-42, ATR-72, etc.
  - Low straight wing, T-Tail
    - Beech 1900, PC-21, etc.
  - Low straight wing, low tail
    - Saab 340, etc.

10 discrete configurations were examined,  
with static and dynamic data collected  $\alpha$  to  
 $60^\circ$ ,  $\beta \pm 30^\circ$




Low swept wing, low engine, low tail



High straight wing, twin prop, T tail

# Wind Tunnel Model Adaptation





G450



A320




Q400






# Representative Model General Approach





# Example – ABX Air 767-200

- ABX-Air 767-200
  - Level C FFS – circa 1997 with 2005 rehost
    - Qualified to AC120-40B
  - Sought Full Part 60 Directive 2 Compliance
  - OEM Model/Data not available



# Example- ABX 767-200

- Model basis
  - Static and dynamic wind-tunnel test data collected by Bihrlle
    - Up to 60 degrees AOA and +/-30 Sideslip
  - CFD used to adjust for Reynolds number effects
- Model coded in C and hosted on external computer communicating with device via Ethernet





# Example-ABX Air 767-200


- SME Evaluation
  - Former Boeing test-pilot with stall experience in 767
  - Maneuvers
    - Required -
      - Wings-Level and Turning Stalls
        - 2<sup>nd</sup> Segment Climb, Landing, Cruise
        - Cruise configuration at low and high altitude
    - Extra –
      - Accelerated Stalls, Power On Stalls, Aggravated Inputs, Poor Recoveries

# Example – ABX Air 767-200

- SME Feedback
  - Adjustments to incipient stall pitch stability
  - Adjustments to uncommanded roll magnitudes
  - Adjustments to buffet onset and trends
- Feedback and Evaluation Description was Included in SOC


# Example – ABX Air 767-200

- Updated Simulator Envelope
  - Required
    - Flight Test
    - Analytical/Wind-Tunnel
  - Extra
    - SME Evaluated



# Success

- Analytical/empirical type-exemplar model applications
  - A300, A310, A320, A330, A340, B737-700/800, B737-300/400, B767-200, CL650, DC-9, G450, G6000, MD10-30
  - ATR-42, ATR-72, DHC-8-100/200/300, Q400, SAAB 340, SAAB 2000,



# Success

- To date 35+ FFS qualified (FAA, GACA) for full-stall recovery training using non-OEM analytical/empirical type exemplar stall models
  - Represents over 10% of all updated devices qualified for full stall training per Directive 2

# Take Away

- Sound Approach .... Proven
  - Non-OEM Analytical/empirical exemplar stall models have been successfully integrated and qualified for full-stall training